

## CREDIT OPINION

18 August 2016

New Issue

Rate this Research >>

### Contacts

Cristin Jacoby 212-553-0215  
 VP-Senior Analyst  
 cristin.jacoby@moody.com

Tiphany Lee-Allen +1 212 553 4772  
 AVP-Analyst  
 tiphany.lee-allen@moody.com

## Glen Cove (City of) NY

New Issue: Moody's Assigns Baa3 Rating to Glen Cove NY's 2016 GOLT Bonds; Outlook is Positive

### Summary Rating Rationale

Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Baa3 to Glen Cove, NY's \$1.699 million Various Purposes Serial Bonds, 2016 Series B. Concurrently, we are affirming the Baa3 rating on the city's outstanding GOLT debt. The outlook has been revised to positive from stable.

The Baa3 rating reflects the city's sizeable and diverse tax base. The rating also incorporates the weak fund balance position, which continues despite a surplus in 2015 and the 2007 issuance of deficit financing, and a debt burden that pressures city finances.

### Credit Strengths

- » Improved budgeting practices in 2015 led to a surplus of almost \$1 million in the General Fund
- » Projected elimination of deficit fund balance position across operating funds at end of fiscal 2016
- » Waterfront development expected to provide increase to tax base and economic activity going forward
- » Large and diverse tax base

### Credit Challenges

- » Weak fund balance position remains negative as of fiscal 2015
- » 2016 budget is balanced through anticipated one-time revenue associated with sale of waterfront property
- » Historic issuance of debt to pay for tax certiorari and termination payments

### Rating Outlook

The positive outlook reflects the city's anticipated sizeable additions to fund balance in fiscal 2016 following the sale of its waterfront property, after which the city expects to have a non-negative operating fund balance position for the first time since the issuance of its deficit financing bonds.

### Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Continued improvement in the city's fund balance position

- » Continuation of city's recently improved budgeting practices
- » Sizeable additions to the tax base

## Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Failure to realize revenues expected from the sale of waterfront property in fiscal 2016
- » Underperformance relative to current expectations in fiscal 2016
- » A 2017 budget that relies significantly on one-time revenues to maintain balanced operations

## Key Indicators

Exhibit 1

Glen Cove (City of) NY	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$ 3,649,457	\$ 3,648,221	\$ 3,646,069	\$ 3,630,495	\$ 3,657,115
Full Value Per Capita	\$ 136,103	\$ 135,531	\$ 134,805	\$ 133,666	\$ 133,471
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	121.0%	120.1%	124.1%	120.8%	120.8%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$ 46,433	\$ 47,695	\$ 46,921	\$ 46,065	\$ 48,390
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	-10.4%	-9.1%	-9.2%	-12.4%	-10.3%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	13.8%	16.1%	14.1%	4.7%	12.7%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$ 65,191	\$ 66,009	\$ 64,663	\$ 69,023	\$ 66,874
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	1.4x	1.4x	1.4x	1.5x	1.4x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.9%	1.8%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	N/A	0.4x	0.7x	0.9x	1.1x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	N/A	0.6%	0.9%	1.1%	1.4%

2016 Full Value: \$3.61 billion

Source: Moody's Investors Service; City's Annual Audits

## Detailed Rating Considerations

### Economy and Tax Base: Large and Diverse Tax Base Expecting Growth from Waterfront Development

The city's sizeable and diverse \$3.6 billion tax base benefits from its proximity to New York City (Aa2 stable). Full value decreased 1.4% in 2016 and is still approximately 24% below its 2009 peak, largely due to successful tax appeals. Full value per capita, at \$132,764, is well above average. The city has seen recent redevelopment activity in its downtown and expects a mixed use development to break ground in the next few months. Additionally, the city has been working with federal and state agencies for ten years to bring about environmental remediation to 56 acres of city agency-owned waterfront property. The city expects this property to be sold to a private developer for approximately \$15 million, and the net revenue to the city from the sale is expected to be at least \$3.5 million in fiscal 2016, which the city plans to use to bring its currently negative operating fund balance to zero or slightly positive. The fiscal 2016 budget is balanced using this one-time revenue source. Going forward, the city expects the site to house an 1,100 unit residential property, an ecology marina, restaurants, and commercial space. The city expects the development of the waterfront to bring about 460 jobs during construction and 550 jobs post construction. Construction of a ferry terminal was finished in 2015, and the city plans to ultimately select a private ferry operator and provide service to Manhattan. Management expects the development of the waterfront to bring about significant economic activity and ultimately bring the 52 acres on to the tax roll upon completion of the

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

entire project in 5 to 6 years. The city projects that the development will bring net revenue to the city of approximately \$175 million over the next forty years.

The median family income is above average at 120.8% of the US median, according to the 2014 American Community Survey. As of June, city unemployment, at 3.4%, is lower than that of the state (4.5%) and nation (5.1%).

#### **Financial Operations and Reserves: Surplus Operations in Fiscal 2015 Following Years of Deficits**

Glen Cove has a history of imbalanced operations, which have led to the continuation of city-wide deficit fund balances in fiscal 2015 despite a 2007 Financial Recovery Plan which included the issuance of deficit financing bonds and revenue enhancements. In fiscal 2014, the city experienced a \$852,000 deficit, largely attributable to an increase in debt service, snow removal overruns, water infrastructure maintenance, loss of revenues associated with a sale of property that never materialized, and some major revenue sources coming in under budget. The city ended fiscal 2014 with an available operating fund balance of -\$5.7 million, or -12.4% of revenues.

Financial performance in fiscal 2015 was much stronger, with an almost \$1 million operating surplus in the general fund, and the total operating fund balance (which includes the general, debt service, non-major governmental and water funds) improving to -\$4.97 million, or -10.3% of operating revenue. In 2014, a voluntary retirement incentive plan was established which management reports yielded a net savings of \$303,000 for fiscal 2015. Similar savings are expected annually through 2017. Management reports that fiscal 2016 is expected to end with a sizeable addition to fund balance levels, almost entirely due to the expected sale of the waterfront property in the fall, which will bring operating fund balance to zero or slightly positive for the first time since the issuance of deficit financing bonds in 2007.

The city has historically borrowed for the tax certiorari payments, and continued to do so in fiscal 2016, but has been increasing the budget allotted for such payments and plans to budget the full amount expected to be due of between \$800,000 and \$900,000 in fiscal 2017. The city also expects to end its practice of issuing debt to pay for personnel termination payments and budgeted this full expense in 2016. The fiscal 2016 budget is balanced without the use of any fund balance across operating funds. However, the budget does include the anticipated one-time payment of \$3.5 million from the sale of the waterfront property. Not included in the 2016 budget is \$10 million the city expects to receive from its Industrial Development Agency to reimburse it for upfront costs associated with the waterfront. Management plans to use such funds to add to fund balance as needed in fiscal 2016 and 2017.

#### **LIQUIDITY**

The city's operating fund cash position as of fiscal 2015 was \$6.1 million, or 12.7% of operating revenue, up significantly from \$2.16 million, or a weak 4.7% of revenues, in fiscal 2014, which was largely attributable to a Revenue Anticipation Note payment in January 2014.

#### **Debt and Pensions: Above Average Debt Position with Rapid Amortization of Principal**

The city's direct debt burden of 2% is above average but expected to decline given rapid amortization of principal (95.6% repaid within ten years) and moderate future borrowing plans. The overall net debt burden of 4% is also above average. Future borrowing plans will be approximately \$3 to \$4 million a year, which will not have a significant impact on debt burden. Once the 2007 deficit financing bonds mature, debt service is projected to drop significantly from \$7.6 million in 2017 to \$4.8 million in 2018.

#### **DEBT STRUCTURE**

All of the city's debt is fixed rate. As of the current issuance, the city has \$35.6 million in long-term rated GO debt and \$15.3 million of Bond Anticipation Notes outstanding, which are unrated.

#### **DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES**

The city is not party to any derivative agreements.

#### **PENSIONS AND OPEB**

The city has historically been challenged to meet its pension obligations within its budget structure and has chosen to amortize a portion of its projected obligation annually from 2011 through 2015. Since 2010, the state comptroller has allowed municipalities to borrow from the pension fund and defer the cost of pension payments over a ten-year amortization period. To date, the city has

amortized \$3.36 million in pension payments due in fiscal 2011 through fiscal 2015, but did not amortize in 2016 and does not plan to going forward. Repayment of the pension amortization will create additional budget pressure in future years.

The city participates in the New York State and Local Employees' Retirement System and the New York State and Local Police and Fire Retirement System, two multi-employer cost sharing pension plans. The city's combined adjusted net pension liability as of fiscal 2014, under Moody's methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is an average 1.1 times total operating revenues. The adjustments are not intended to replace the city's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities. We determined the city's share of liability for the state-run plans in proportion to its contributions to the plans and do not factor in the city's recent amortizations.

Other post-employment benefit (OPEB) costs totaled \$2.6 million in fiscal 2014. Total fixed costs for fiscal 2015, including debt service, required pension contributions and retiree healthcare payments, represented \$13.6 million, or a high 28.7% of revenues.

### Management and Governance

The city's improved financial management practices have allowed a return to balanced operations in fiscal 2015 and projected for fiscal 2016.

New York cities, towns and villages have an institutional framework score of 'A' or moderate. Revenues are largely comprised of property, sales, and mortgage taxes, as well as building permits. Property tax revenues are subject to the tax cap but can be overridden with a 60% vote of the local legislative body. Economically sensitive revenues remain below peak levels prior to recession. Expenditures are largely predictable but the presence of strong collective bargaining groups make it difficult to reduce expenditures.

### Legal Security

The bonds are secured by the city's general obligation pledge as limited by the Property Tax Cap-Legislation (Chapter 97 (Part A) of the Laws of the State of New York, 2011).

### Use of Proceeds

The bonds are being issued largely to fund street improvements, but will also be used for various other transportation and capital equipment needs.

### Obligor Profile

Glen Cove is located in Nassau County on the North Shore of Long Island, with a population of 27,314 (2014 American Community Survey).

### Methodology

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. Please see the Ratings Methodologies page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for a copy of this methodology.

### Ratings

Exhibit 3

#### Glen Cove (City of) NY

Issue	Rating
Various Purposes Serial Bonds - 2016 Series B	Baa3
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$1,699,305
Expected Sale Date	08/25/2016
Rating Description	General Obligation Limited Tax

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1038476

## Contacts

Cristin Jacoby  
*VP-Senior Analyst*  
cristin.jacoby@moodys.com

212-553-0215

Tiphany Lee-Allen  
*AVP-Analyst*  
tiphany.lee-allen@moodys.com

+1 212 553 4772

## CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454